### **Open Access**

Allison R. Nelson\*, Renée L. Cormier, Diana L. Humple, Josh C. Scullen, Ravinder Sehgal, Nathaniel E. Seavy

## Migration patterns of San Francisco Bay Area Hermit Thrushes differ across a fine spatial scale

DOI 10.1515/ami-2016-0001 Received September 9, 2015; accepted January 19, 2016

Abstract: Effective conservation of short-distance migrants requires an understanding of intraspecific variation in migratory patterns across small spatial scales. Until the advent of ultra-light geolocation devices, our knowledge of the migratory connectivity of songbirds was limited. For the Hermit Thrush (Catharus guttatus), subspecies delineations and connectivity patterns have been unclear in the portion of their breeding range in western North America from southeastern Alaska to northwestern Washington, where individuals wintering in the San Francisco Bay Area of California purportedly breed. To determine breeding locations and migratory timing of the Bay Area's wintering Hermit Thrushes, we deployed geolocators at sites to the north and south of the San Francisco Bay. We compared results from these two regions to one another and to connectivity patterns suggested by subspecies definitions. We collected morphometrics to identify regional differences. Hermit Thrushes that wintered in the North Bay had a wider and more southerly breeding distribution from the British Columbia coast to northwestern Washington, whereas South Bay thrushes migrated to southeastern Alaska and the British Columbia coast. In general, North Bay thrushes departed wintering grounds and arrived on breeding grounds earlier than South Bay thrushes, but we cannot eliminate sex as a factor in these differences. Regional morphology differed only in bill length. Intraspecific isolation in glacial refugia during the Late Pleistocene may explain these fine-scale geographic variations in migration patterns and morphology.

\*Corresponding author Allison R. Nelson, San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132, U.S.A., E-mail: nelson.allison@gmail.com

**Ravinder Sehgal,** San Francisco State University, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132, U.S.A.

Allison R. Nelson, Josh C. Scullen, San Francisco Bay Bird Observatory, 524 Valley Way, Milpitas, CA 95035, U.S.A.

Renée L. Cormier, Diana L. Humple, Nathaniel E. Seavy, Point Blue Conservation Science, 3820 Cypress Drive #11, Petaluma, CA 94954, U.S.A. **Keywords:** migratory connectivity, geolocator, *Catharus guttatus*, migration timing, morphology, subspecies, Pleistocene, Pacific Northwest

## **1** Introduction

Migratory connectivity describes the geographic association between breeding and wintering populations of a migratory species [1,2]. Identifying the connectivity patterns of migratory species is an essential step toward understanding their ecological requirements throughout the full annual cycle [3,4], exploring evolutionary history [5,6,7], and informing conservation efforts [8]. Intraspecific patterns of migratory connectivity, however, can be complex. For example, divergent migratory patterns can occur between sympatric populations of conspecifics [9] and can evolve rapidly [10]. Determining intraspecific differences in connectivity patterns, especially at a fine geographic scale, may be necessary in order to identify populations that are vulnerable to environmental perturbations [11]. The Hermit Thrush (Catharus guttatus; Fig. 1), a North American temperate migrant, offers an unparalleled opportunity to examine this type of smallscale variation in migratory connectivity.

In the San Francisco Bay Area (hereafter Bay Area) of northwestern California, U.S.A., four or more subspecies of Hermit Thrush may winter sympatrically [12,13], therefore conspecifics in this region may exhibit diverse connectivity patterns. Hermit Thrushes breed in southern Alaska, much of Canada south of Nunavut and the Northwest Territories, and western and northeastern continental United States, and they winter throughout the southern and western United States, Mexico, and localized areas of Central America [14]. Subspecies definitions, based primarily on plumage coloration and morphometrics [13], imply that individuals wintering in the Bay Area may breed anywhere from coastal Alaska to northwestern Washington: *Catharus guttatus verecundas* breeds on Haida Gwaii (Queen Charlotte Islands) off

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 License.

Brought to you by | University of California - Los Angeles - UCLA Library Authenticated

CO BYANC-ND © 2016 Allison R. Nelson *et al.*, licensee De Gruyter Open.

load Date | 5/23/16 11:4



**Figure 1**. Hermit Thrush wearing Migrate Technology Ltd model P65C2J13 light-level geolocator, attached with Stretch Magic® leg harness.

the coast of British Columbia, the C. g. nanus/osgoodi group breeds in Alaska from the southwest coast to the Alexander Archipelago, C. g. guttatus breeds along the central coast of British Columbia and east to the interior, and C. g. vaccinius breeds in northwestern Washington or on Vancouver Island in British Columbia and the adjacent coast. These subspecies descriptions [12-16], however, contain overlaps in their morphologies, and the boundaries of their breeding ranges are imprecise, particularly in northwestern Washington and southwestern British Columbia. In that region, the breeding ranges of two additional subspecies, C. g. jewetti and possibly C. g. slevini, overlap with that of vaccinius, but their wintering ranges do not encompass the Bay Area [12]. While the Hermit Thrush is found across a broad geographic range, certain subspecies (e.g. C.g. verecundas and C. g. slevini) exhibit a distinct morphology paired with a limited distribution [12,17], potentially reflecting evolutionary divergence in isolation [18] or specialized adaptation to the local environment [19]. Our ability to plan for the conservation of groups such as these would be greatly increased through an improved description of the species' migratory connectivity.

Existing knowledge of Hermit Thrush migratory connectivity is largely based on morphological similarities of specimens collected at disparate geographic locations [20-22]. However, complications such as subspecific overlap in coloration and morphometrics of specimens [13,15,23,24], fading of specimen color [12,20,25] and debate regarding collection location [12,16,17] have rendered morphology-based connectivity knowledge incomplete [12]. Bird banding data provides some insight into the species' migratory connectivity, but less than 0.20% of Hermit Thrushes banded in North America between 1960 and 2013 have been recaptured or recovered at a location other than the original banding site (U.S. Geological Survey Bird Banding Laboratory [USGS BBL], unpublished data). Only five of these individuals were captured or recovered in both breeding season (June -August) and wintering season (November-February) in the previously-mentioned regions: two individuals banded during breeding season on the western and central coast of Alaska were recovered in winter in Vancouver, British Columbia, and three individuals banded during the breeding season on Haida Gwaii were recovered in winter in coastal northwestern California (one each in Humboldt and Mendocino counties, well north of the Bay Area, and one in Sonoma County, in the northern reaches of the Bay Area). A sixth individual was banded during breeding season in the Alexander Archipelago and recovered in late October in San Mateo County, which lies due west of the south end of San Francisco Bay.

Since 2009, light-level geolocation has greatly improved our ability to study the migratory connectivity of small passerines [26,27,28], including the Hermit Thrush. Alvarado et al. [7] combined molecular methods and geolocator data to confirm the existence of a migratory divide in the Hermit Thrush's breeding range in British Columbia. In that study, three individuals tagged on their breeding grounds on Haida Gwaii migrated south to wintering locations in Oregon and northern California, while two birds tagged in eastern British Columbia wintered in Arkansas. No other existing publications have established specific migratory connectivity patterns of the Hermit Thrush (but see [29,30] for studies utilizing the Hermit Thrush as a model to demonstrate methods for assigning connectivity through stable isotope or combined isotope/genetic analysis).

We articulated two competing hypotheses to describe the migratory connectivity of Hermit Thrushes wintering in the San Francisco Bay Area. Based on literature that implies that Hermit Thrushes wintering in the Bay Area may be individuals from any one of the four or more abovementioned subspecies whose combined breeding ranges span from the coast of Alaska to northwestern Washington [13], we hypothesized that the Bay Area's wintering Hermit Thrushes migrate to a variety of locations throughout the coastal Pacific Northwest within the suggested breeding ranges. Under this scenario we would expect little or no evidence that wintering birds from the north and south of the Bay migrate to distinct breeding locations (i.e., weak migratory connectivity). The second, and in our view less likely, hypothesis was that Hermit Thrushes wintering to the north and south of the Bay represent distinct groups that segregate in the Bay Area in winter and migrate to geographically distinct breeding locations, retaining the spatial structure present on the wintering grounds (i.e., stronger migratory connectivity).

To investigate the migration patterns of Hermit Thrushes wintering at sites located north and south of the San Francisco Bay (hereafter North Bay and South Bay) and test our hypothesis, we deployed light-level geolocators on birds in both regions. Our goals were to 1) identify and compare breeding locations and migration timing of North Bay and South Bay Hermit Thrushes, 2) quantify migratory connectivity and determine whether connectivity patterns are consistent with those described by accepted subspecies groupings, and 3) determine if North and South Bay Hermit Thrushes exhibit distinct morphologies.

## 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Study sites

In the North Bay, we tagged and measured birds at three long-term banding sites operated by Point Blue Conservation Science in western Marin County, northwest of the San Francisco Bay (Fig. 2). The Palomarin Field Station (37.93°N, 122.74°W) and Muddy Hollow (38.05°N, 122.87°W) are located in Point Reyes National Seashore, and Pine Gulch Creek (37.92°N, 122.69°W) is located in the Bolinas Lagoon Open Space Preserve. Palomarin is characterized by a mix of coastal scrub, dominated by coyote brush (*Baccharis pilularis*); and mixed evergreen hardwood forest, dominated by Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*) and California bay laurel (*Umbellularia californica*), [31]. Muddy Hollow and Pine Gulch are riparian sites dominated by arroyo willow (*Salix lasiolepis*) and red alder (*Alnus rubra*),



**Figure 2.** Map of North America with insets of Pacific Northwest study area and geolocator deployment locations in the San Francisco Bay Area of California. Red dots = North Bay sites. Blue dot = South Bay site.

with an understory of blackberries (*Rubus ursinus* and *R. armeniacus*) and other species [32].

In the South Bay, we tagged and measured birds at San Francisco Bay Bird Observatory's Coyote Creek Field Station (37.44°N, 121.93°W; Fig. 2), a long-term banding station located on Santa Clara Valley Water District land at the southern boundary of the San Francisco Bay (approximately 90 km southeast of Palomarin; Fig. 2). The site was previously a pear orchard but has since been restored to riparian habitat characterized by an overstory of blue-elderberry (Sambucus mexicana) and box elder (Acer negundo) and an understory of covote brush, California blackberry (R. ursinus), poison hemlock (Conim maculatum), and peppergrass (Lepidium sp.), [33; San Francisco Bay Bird Observatory, unpublished data]. The area surrounding the site is highly urbanized. We will refer to the three North Bay banding sites as the North Bay region and the single South Bay banding station as the South Bay region.

#### 2.2 Data collection

Between January 8 and March 13, 2013, we deployed 32 Migrate Technology Ltd model P65C2J13 light-level geolocators on wintering Hermit Thrushes (Fig. 1). These geolocators measured light levels every minute and stored the highest level recorded for each five minute period. We tagged 17 Hermit Thrushes in the North Bay region (7 at Muddy Hollow, 7 at Pine Gulch, 3 at Palomarin), and 15 in the South Bay region. We captured all birds according to standard mist-netting protocols [34]. We attached tags with a leg loop harness of 1mm diameter clear beading cord (Stretch Magic<sup>®</sup>, Pepperell, MA) and used a crimp bead (Darice<sup>®</sup>, Strongsville, OH or Blue Moon Beads<sup>®</sup>, Provo, UT) to size and secure the harness. We banded birds with a uniquely numbered U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) aluminum band and one color band to aid resighting. We aged birds based on skull ossification and plumage characteristics [13] and weighed them to the nearest 0.1g. The combined weight of tag, harness and color band was approximately 0.7 - 1.1g. The tags averaged  $3.46\% \pm 0.55\%$ of the bird's body weight  $(24.53g \pm 1.46g)$ .

The following winter (October 2013 – February 2014), we recaptured tagged birds through passive constanteffort mist netting, or in one case, by target netting using playbacks of conspecific vocalizations. At the time of recapture, we measured unflattened wing chord, Kipp's index (the difference between the longest primary and the longest secondary feathers, [35]), tarsus length, bill width, bill depth, exposed culmen, and length from the distal end of nare to bill tip. Because male and female Hermit Thrushes have monomorphic plumage and cannot be sexed in winter, we collected blood samples (approximately 0.5 - 0.7 ml) via brachial venipuncture [36] from 9 of the 10 returned tagged birds for the purpose of genetic sexing. To increase our sample size for sexing and morphological analysis, we also sampled 57 non-tagged birds from November 1 - February 28 of 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 (South Bay n = 38, North Bay n = 19); some to all of the above morphometrics were recorded for each of these individuals. Although we also sampled outside of this period, we restricted analysis to birds captured from November through February to eliminate passage migrants. Because of the extensive overlap in subspecific morphology, we did not attempt to identify individuals to

#### 2.3 Sexing and sex ratio analysis

subspecies.

DNA was extracted from whole blood using the Wizard® SV Genomic Purification System, (Promega, Madison, WI). To sex Hermit Thrushes, we used polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify the CHD-W and CHD-Z genes with the primers P8 (5'-CTCCCAAGGATGAGRAAYTG-3') and P2 (5'-TCTGCATCGCTAAATCCTTT-3') according to Griffiths et al. [37], with some revisions. PCR was carried out in a total of 25 µL. Reaction conditions were as follows: 5 µL 5x buffer, 0.5 µL dNTP, 2.5 µL MgCl., 1.5 µL of each primer, 0.15 µL Taq polymerase (Promega), 10.85  $\mu$ L H<sub>2</sub>O, and 3.0  $\mu$ L of genomic DNA template. We used an annealing temperature of 46°C. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in a 1.8% agarose gel for 55 minutes at 120V and stained with ethidium bromide. Individuals that we were unable to confidently sex were excluded from analyses.

In order to determine whether sex might influence regional differences in migration timing or morphology, we examined the sex ratio of our sample. We performed a Chi-squared test of independence to determine if the sex ratio of our North and South Bay samples differed from one another, and we used a Chi-squared goodness-offit test to determine if the sex ratio of our entire Hermit Thrush sample differed from an expected ratio of 50:50.

## 2.4 Geolocator analysis and migratory connectivity

We processed light-level data recorded by the geolocators with tools in the R version 3.0.2 (R Development Core

Team 2013) package GeoLight (https://cran.r-project. org/web/packages/GeoLight/, [38]). To calibrate the tags and generate a sun elevation angle for each tag, we used the known winter deployment location for a 10- or 20-day period beginning 2 days following deployment; the number of calibration days chosen depended on how late in the winter the tag was deployed. We used a 2-day delay to avoid shading effects due to any behavioral changes related to tag application. We were confident that the birds whose tags were recovered were still at the deployment location during the period chosen as the latest deployment date (March 1, 2013) was well prior to an expected spring departure date.

We identified sunrise and sunset times using a light threshold of 3, 5, or 6. We chose these values because they corresponded to a sun elevation angle close to -6.0 degrees (range = -6.2 to -5.0, mean = -5.7  $\pm$ 0.5) and generated location coordinates consistent with known deployment locations during the calibration period. We eliminated outliers in sunrise and sunset times by using the *loessFilter* function to delete twilight transitions (range = 7 – 87 per individual) that were greater than 2 times the interquartile range of residuals from the smoothed line.

Using the calibrated sun angle for each tag, we calculated the latitude and longitude for each twilight event. Latitudes were not calculated for 21 days before and after the vernal and autumnal equinoxes [39]. We then used the *distanceFilter* function to remove locations which would have required movements between consecutive positions to have exceeded a continuous speed of 25 km·h<sup>-1</sup>.

We identified spring migration departure and arrival dates by combining information from the latitude and longitude data and movement and residency periods generated with the *changeLight* function (probability of change = 0.85, minimum stationary period = 5 days). We were unable to determine fall migration dates because they coincided with the equinox period when latitude cannot be calculated.

Based on the spring arrival dates and residency periods, we chose a breeding window that began 10 days following the breeding region arrival date and ended 30 days later. Restricting our analysis to this time period, we described approximate breeding locations by calculating 50% kernel densities estimates (KDE, [40]) and summarized these data by computing their centroids with the R package adehabitatHR (https://cran.r-project. org/web/packages/adehabitatHR/). We chose the initial 10-day delay because the latitudes of all birds appeared higher at the time of breeding region arrival than during the majority of the breeding period. This may have been caused by shading or a change in position immediately prior to establishing breeding territories [41], such as movement from the mainland to a breeding location on an offshore island.

We compared spring arrival date, departure date, and duration of spring migration of thrushes from the North and South Bay using 2-sample t-tests.

To assess the strength of migratory connectivity, we used the R package Imap to create matrices of great-circle distances between wintering deployment locations (see *Study sites* above) and breeding location centroids (See Sup. Mat. Table S1) for all individuals whose tag had been retrieved (n = 10). We then tested the correlation between the distance matrices of wintering and breeding locations by conducting a Mantel test with the R package ade4, specifying 10000 random permutations [42,43].

#### 2.5 Morphological analysis

We compared measurements of genetically-sexed tagged and non-tagged individuals that were captured at North and South Bay wintering regions. When multiple measurements were taken on different dates for the same individual, we used the average for the analysis. To determine difference in wing chord, Kipp's index, tarsus, exposed culmen, nares to tip, bill width and bill depth between the regions, we performed a two-way ANOVA using wintering region and sex as factors.

## **3 Results**

#### 3.1 Geolocator returns

Between October 17, 2013 and February 21, 2014, we recaptured ten of the 32 birds (31%) that were originally tagged, and we removed their geolocators. Six out of 17 (35%) North Bay birds returned (1 to Palomarin, 3 to Muddy Hollow, 2 to Pine Gulch), and 4 out of 15 (27%) South Bay birds returned. There was no tag loss from any bird we recaptured, and data from all tags were recovered. An 11<sup>th</sup> individual that had been tagged was resighted at Palomarin but not captured; we could not confirm if its tag was intact. (See Sup. Mat. 1 for comparison of return rates of tagged to non-tagged birds). Two birds that returned to the North Bay were female, two were male, and the sex of two was unknown (we did not have a blood sample for one and were unsuccessful at genetically sexing the other). All four birds that returned to the South Bay were female.

### 3.2 Migration timing

North Bay Hermit Thrushes departed from wintering grounds significantly earlier than South Bay Hermit Thrushes (t = 4.36, df = 6.44, P = 0.004; Table 1). Mean spring departure date from the North Bay in 2013 was 10 April (range = 22 March - 18 April). Mean departure date from the South Bay in 2013 was 23 April (range = 20 April - 27 April).

North Bay Hermit Thrushes also arrived on breeding grounds significantly earlier than South Bay birds (t = 3.81, df = 6.46, P = 0.008; Table 1). The mean spring arrival date at breeding grounds for North Bay birds in 2013 was 27 April (range = 13 April – 15 May) and for South Bay birds was 17 May (range = 14 May – 22 May).

Mean spring migration duration was  $26.7 \pm 6.4$  days for North and South Bay sites combined, ranging from 16 to 37 days. Migration duration was not significantly different between sites (t = -0.37 days, df = 7.23, P = 0.719, Table 1).

The South Bay departure and arrival dates were more temporally concentrated than those of North Bay birds: the 4 South Bay birds, which were all females (Table 1), departed over a period of 8 days; and the North Bay birds, which were of mixed sex, departed over a 35 day period. South Bay birds arrived on breeding grounds over the course of 9 days, while the arrival window for North Bay birds was 32 days.

**Table 1.** Spring migration timing for North and South Bay HermitThrushes.

spring migration					
tag	sex	departure	arrival	duration	
North Bay					
594	U	3/22/2013	4/20/2013	29	
715	Μ	3/28/2013	5/4/2013	37	
703	Μ	3/28/2013	4/13/2013	16	
718	U	3/29/2013	4/22/2013	24	
702	F	4/10/2013	5/2/2013	22	
578	F	4/18/2013	5/15/2013	27	
South Bay					
726	F	4/20/2013	5/15/2013	25	
720	F	4/20/2013	5/22/2013	32	
719	F	4/27/2013	5/14/2013	17	
600	F	4/27/2013	5/20/2013	23	

# 3.3 Breeding locations and migratory connectivity

Hermit Thrushes that wintered in the San Francisco Bay Area migrated to a region spanning from the south end of the Alexander Archipelago in the Alaska Panhandle, along the British Columbia coast, to the Olympic Peninsula in Washington (Fig. 3). Three of the South Bay birds (tag numbers 600, 719, 726) migrated to the southern islands of the Alexander Archipelago or the adjacent coast, while the fourth bird (720) migrated to an area slightly south of there on the north coast of British Columbia, adjacent to the north end of Haida Gwaii. Of the 6 North Bay Hermit Thrushes, 3 individuals (702, 703, 718) migrated to Vancouver Island, British Columbia; the adjacent British Columbia mainland; or the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. The other 3 North Bay individuals (578, 594, 715) were concentrated around Haida Gwaii or possibly the adjacent north coast of British Columbia. The 50% KDE for 715 (from the North Bay) extends minimally over the southwesternmost end of the Alexander Archipelago.

Our total sample of Bay Area thrushes had a wider geographic distribution on breeding grounds than on wintering grounds. While the North and South Bay wintering regions are separated by only about 0.45° in latitude or a distance of approximately 90 km, the southernmost breeding centroid (North Bay tag 702) and the northernmost breeding centroid (South Bay tag 726) were approximately 9.19° apart in latitude and a greatcircle distance of 1088 km (Fig. 3; Sup. Mat. Table S1). However, the shortest distance between a North Bay and South Bay bird's breeding centroid was approximately 0.37° in latitude and a great-circle distance of 160 km (North Bay tag 715 and South Bay tag 720) and their 50% KDEs do overlap (Fig. 3). The greatest distance between two North Bay breeding centroids (702 and 715) was approximately 6.53° in latitude and a great-circle distance of 832 km, and the greatest distance between two South Bay breeding centroids (720 and 726) was approximately 2.29° in latitude and a great-circle distance of 287 km.

The distances between wintering locations and breeding locations were significantly correlated (Mantel's r = 0.33, P = 0.039).

## 3.4 Sex ratio

The sex ratios of the North Bay sample (n = 19, 31.6% male) and the South Bay sample (n = 38, 23.7% male) were not significantly different from one another ( $\chi^2$  = 0.10, df = 1, P = 0.750). However, the overall sex ratio of the total



**Figure 3.** Map of northwestern North America depicting Hermit Thrush wintering grounds (geolocator deployment sites) and approximate breeding destinations. Red dot = North Bay deployment site. Blue dot = South Bay deployment site. Inset map shows the approximate breeding range for each *C. guttatus* subspecies found in the Pacific Northwest, overlaid with the kernel density estimates encompassing 50% of the maximum density for the breeding location of each geolocator-tagged individual. Subspecies ranges: stippling = *nanus/osgoodi*, cross-hatching = *guttatus*, ascending diagonal lines = *verecundas*, vertical lines = *vaccinius*, horizontal lines = *jewetti*. Blue polygons with heavy outlines = KDEs of South Bay wintering birds, red polygons with light outlines = KDEs of North Bay wintering birds. Black dots = the centroid of each KDE. Ranges are based on Phillips [12], Dickerman and Parkes [16], and Pyle [13].

Bay Area sample (n = 57, 26.3% male) was significantly different from a 50:50 sex ratio ( $\chi^2$  = 12.77, df = 1, P < 0.001).

#### 3.5 Morphology

Our sample size of birds that we had both measured and genetically sexed was relatively small (n = 57), but we did find significant variation associated with sex or region for certain morphometrics (Table 2). Wing morphology did not vary between birds from the North Bay and South Bay regions. For Kipp's index, there was no difference associated with sex (n = 19, F = 1.140, P = 0.301) or region (n = 17, F = 0.361, P = 0.556). Wing chord was significantly greater for males than females (n = 56, F = 19.39, P <0.001), but there was no significant difference associated with region (n = 56, F = 1.69, P = 0.200; Fig. 4a). Similarly, variation in tarsus length was associated with sex (n = 41, F = 7.58, P = 0.009; Fig. 4b), but not region (n = 43, F = 1.86, P = 0.179; Fig. 4b).

Exposed culmen and nares to tip were significantly greater for North Bay birds than South Bay birds (exposed

culmen: n = 40, F = 9.06, P = 0.005; nares to tip: n = 41, F = 16.86, P < 0.001; Fig. 4c). Neither morphometric significantly differed between males and females (exposed culmen: n = 40, F = 0.70, P = 0.410; nares to tip: n = 41, F = 2.09, P = 0.157; 4c). Bill depth did not differ by sex or region (sex: n = 40, F = 0.120, P = 0.731, region: n = 40, F = 0.301, P = 0.586), nor did bill width (sex: n = 40, F = 0.001, P = 0.983, region: n = 40, F = 0.948, P = 0.337).

## **4** Discussion

We found that Hermit Thrushes wintering in the San Francisco Bay Area migrated to breeding sites spanning the northwest coast of North America from southeastern Alaska to northwestern Washington. This is consistent with currently accepted subspecies groupings [13] indicating that coastal northern California's wintering birds breed throughout that region. Overall, our entire sample of Bay Area wintering birds had a geographically broader breeding distribution than wintering distribution. North Bay birds as a whole migrated to breeding sites

response variable	predictor variable	df	MS	F	р
Wing Chord	region	1	6.57	1.69	0.2000
	sex	1	75.55	19.39	<0.0001
Tarsus	region	1	2.17	1.86	0.1793
	sex	1	8.81	7.58	0.0086
Exposed Culmen	region	1	6.40	9.06	0.0047
	sex	1	0.49	0.70	0.4098
Nares to Tip	region	1	4.00	16.86	0.0002
	sex	1	0.50	2.09	0.1570

**Table 2.** Summary of ANOVA models examining sex and region factors associated with each morphological parameter. Only models with significant effects for either sex or region are shown.

farther south than South Bay birds (Fig. 3), exhibiting a small-scale pattern of leap-frog migration [44,45], the first documented evidence of this in the Hermit Thrush. The breeding locations of South Bay birds were more geographically concentrated than those of North Bay birds. For South Bay birds, breeding locations were concentrated toward the south end of the Alexander Archipelago and nearby mainland. North Bay birds, however, migrated to two somewhat-clustered breeding areas (one on or near Haida Gwaii, and one around Vancouver Island and the Olympic Peninsula; Fig. 3). These results are supported by two of the above-mentioned band recoveries in the Bay Area (USGS BBL, unpublished data). One band recovered in winter in the northern reaches of the North Bay (Sonoma County, just north of Marin County) was that of a bird originally banded on Haida Gwaii. Likewise, the individual that was banded in breeding season in the Alexander Archipelago and recovered in San Mateo County in late October (approximately 30 km due west of our South Bay wintering site) may have been an overwintering bird, consistent with our finding that South Bay birds migrated to that region of Alaska.

We found a significant correlation between the spatial structure of wintering sites and the spatial structure of breeding location centroids, indicating that birds that wintered close together bred more closely together (Fig. 3). This supports the hypothesis that, across a fine geographic scale (i.e., from one end of San Francisco Bay to the other; Fig. 2), Hermit Thrushes exhibit stronger migratory connectivity and maintain consistent spatial structure on wintering and breeding grounds. Based on the apparent distribution of the breeding locations identified, we propose that birds wintering in the two Bay Area regions originate predominantly from different breeding populations.

Bay Area wintering Hermit Thrushes may be associated with at least four different subspecies (Fig.

3): South Bay birds could either be assigned to the *C. g. nanus/osgoodi* group (see [12,16,17] for debate regarding subspecies lumping) or *C. g. guttatus* [12,13,15,16], while North Bay birds could be assigned to *C. g. verecundas*, *C. g. guttatus*, *C. g. vaccinius*, or (715 only) *C. g. nanus/osgoodi* [12,15]. Although Phillips [12] states that *C. g. verecundas* only rarely winters to Marin County (where our North Bay sites are located), 2-3 of the North Bay birds migrated to the breeding location, Haida Gwaii, described for this subspecies ([12,13], but see [15,17]).

We acknowledge that the relatively close proximity of the breeding ranges of Hermit Thrush subspecies presents a challenge for definitively describing Hermit Thrush migratory connectivity. For example, there was overlap in the 50% KDEs of certain individuals from the North and South Bay. Future studies may be able to refine these results through the use of tracking devices that offer higher resolution, such as GPS tags [46].

Much of the confusion regarding subspecies definitions of northwestern Hermit Thrushes undoubtedly stems from overlaps in morphology between adjacent breeding populations. Aldrich's 1968 study of Hermit Thrush morphology [15] found extensive overlap in wing chord, tarsus and bill measurements of C. g. verecundas, C. g. guttatus, and C. g. vaccinius (at the time verecundas was lumped with nanus and osgoodi was not yet lumped with *nanus*, [12–14]). Consistent with his results, our study did not find differences in wing morphology between North and South Bay birds. Because birds from the South Bay evidently travel a longer distance to breeding grounds than North Bay birds, we might expect South Bay birds to have longer and more pointed wings [7,47,48]. It is possible that the difference between North and South Bay birds' migration distances is not large enough for these populations to have evolved significant differences in wing morphology.

We found that North and South Bay thrushes did exhibit significant differences in bill length (Fig. 4c). Other than the findings of Aldrich [15], we are unaware of any published studies that have examined intraspecific variation in Hermit Thrush bill morphology. We could not appropriately compare his data to ours as subspecies definitions and their respective ranges have been revised since publication of his results. No known published studies have measured bill width, depth, or nares to tip.

We also found that North and South Bay Hermit Thrushes exhibited significant differences in migration timing, with birds from the North Bay departing wintering grounds and arriving on breeding grounds significantly earlier than South Bay birds (Table 1). While this finding could potentially reinforce our proposal that North and South Bay individuals originate from different breeding populations, we suspect that the different sex ratios of the tagged birds from each region may partially explain the earlier spring departure and arrival dates of our North Bay sample. In many species, male birds depart for spring migration earlier than females [49]. Males thus arrive on breeding grounds before females to establish breeding



**Figure 4.** ANOVA comparisons by sex and region for all geolocator-tagged and non-tagged Hermit Thrushes. Blue bars represent all males sampled for North and South Bay regions combined. Yellow bars represent all females sampled for North and South Bay regions combined. Light green bars represent all South Bay birds of both sexes. Dark green bars represent all North Bay birds of both sexes. a. ANOVA wing chord comparison. b. ANOVA tarsus comparison. c. ANOVA nares and exposed culmen comparison.

territories and optimize mate selection opportunities and fitness [50]. In Stouffer and Dwyer's study [51] of C. g. faxoni, an eastern subspecies, they found that males passed through Chicago on spring migration weeks earlier than females. Bowlin's study [52] of Swainson's Thrush (Catharus ustulatus) also found that males arrived at a spring stopover site earlier than females. Although the overall sex ratios of our Bay Area wintering birds was female-biased, the two known males (703 and 715) and two birds of unknown sex (594 and 718) from the North Bay departed wintering grounds on the earliest dates, potentially reinforcing findings of previous studies. The greater range of the North Bay birds' spring departure and arrival dates compared to that of the South Bay birds could be explained by the fact that the North Bay sample was composed of males and females while all South Bay birds were female.

Our results add to a growing body of research on migration patterns of Catharus species in western North America. In studies of a congener, the Swainson's Thrush (Catharus ustulatus), researchers identified a migratory divide along the coastal ranges of western North America using genetic and banding data [5] and confirmed its presence using geolocators [53,54]: western (coastal) breeding individuals migrate to wintering grounds in northern Central America and Mexico (also see [55]) while adjacent, eastern (continental) individuals migrate to southern Central America and South America. Molecular dating indicates that genetic divergence between coastal and continental Swainson's Thrush populations occurred during the Late Pleistocene, and intraspecific variation in current migratory patterns may be an artefact of range expansion [5,56]. The results of studies across the western range of the Swainson's Thrush have demonstrated strong connectivity [55]. While our results originate from deployment areas in wintering rather than breeding locations, our findings are similar to those of Swainson's Thrush studies in that Hermit Thrushes also exhibit different migratory patterns associated with distinct yet proximal deployment locations; therefore, Hermit Thrush populations may also be characterized by relatively strong connectivity.

Similar to the Swainson's Thrush, it is possible that the morphological and migratory variation between North Bay and South Bay Hermit Thrushes reflects the species' evolutionary history as populations were subjected to the varying availability of breeding and wintering grounds during the Late Pleistocene. From approximately 14,000 to 10,000 years ago, the Cordilleran Ice Sheet, which covered much of North America west of the Continental Divide, was absent from the western coasts of many

islands of the southwestern Alexander Archipelago and portions of Haida Gwaii [18,57,58]. These ice-free areas served as refugia for floral and faunal colonization: island populations isolated from those of the mainland genetically diversified, resulting in large numbers of endemics in this region [59-61]. On the breeding grounds, these changes may have created alternating periods of increased or decreased gene flow for the Hermit Thrush. During that time, what is now the San Francisco Bay was a wide valley divided from east to west by the ancestral Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers. Post-Wisconsinan deglaciation caused the sea to rise approximately 200 feet above current levels, inundating this basin and spreading across the land at the rate of as much as  $30 \text{ m} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$  [62]. The rapidly-changing environment on the Hermit Thrush's wintering grounds may have differentially exposed the various Pacific Northwestern breeding populations to limiting factors during migration and in winter [63]. Fragmenting of the geographical distributions of Hermit Thrush populations on both the breeding and wintering grounds may therefore have provided conditions that led to the evolution of the current migratory patterns of northwestern Hermit Thrushes, as has been documented in Alvarado's study of the Hermit Thrush [7] and other species of migratory birds [5,56,64].

Based on our results, we propose that across relatively short distances (e.g., the ~90 km between our North Bay and South Bay wintering sites or the ~225 km between Haida Gwaii and Vancouver Island breeding grounds), Hermit Thrushes in the northwestern portion of their overall range should have substantial genetic variation that likely originated during the Last Glacial Maximum (as in other species [5,61]). The Turdinae subfamily, in particular Catharus thrushes, has been a model system in the study of the evolution of migration [6,65,66], variation in migratory patterns and phenotype across a migratory divide [5,7,53,54,67], and genomic divergence as a reflection of the variation in migratory patterns across a divide [68,69]. However, molecular research specific to C. guttatus at the intraspecific level has primarily focused on genetic divergence between eastern and western lineages [7,70]. Genetic techniques such as next-generation sequencing and SNP analysis would provide a means to investigate the fine-scale genetic structuring and timing of divergence [68,69] of Hermit Thrush populations breeding in the Pacific Northwest, especially along a north-south gradient.

Knowledge of migratory connectivity contributes greatly to our understanding of full life cycle biology of migratory species and is critical for their conservation and management [71]. The Hermit Thrush's population trends appear stable relative to those of its congeners, which are all experiencing significant declines across substantial portions of their ranges (USGS North American Breeding Bird Survey data, http://www.mbrpwrc.usgs.gov/bbs/bbs.html). The Hermit Thrush's adaptability in winter habitat choice, paired with its wide distribution [14], may contribute to this fact. What we learn about the qualities and habits that have led to the relative success of this species may inform efforts to conserve declining Catharus species. However, Breeding Bird Survey data indicate that the Hermit Thrush may be declining in some breeding areas utilized by the Bay Area's wintering birds [72]. Further research on the species' migratory connectivity, paired with investigation of ecological requirements and spatial structuring of genetic variation, may allow us to identify localized Hermit Thrush populations that require protection or attention.

Acknowledgements: We thank James Fox and Migrate Technology Ltd for technical support; Point Reyes National Seashore, Marin County Open Space District, and the Santa Clara Valley Water District for their support of our research; the USGS Bird Banding Lab for their support and for providing band encounter data; Tony Gaston and the Laskeek Bay Conservation Society, and Mary F. Willson, for permission to include their band recovery data herein; Palomarin interns and staff (especially Mark Dettling) and the many Coyote Creek Field Station volunteers for assisting with field work; Antonia Zhang and Aiko Wevereka for technical assistance; and Kristen Ruegg for comments on the manuscript.

We thank the March Conservation Fund for partial funding of this project and San Francisco State University for partial funding of Allison R. Nelson's research. We are also grateful to the Kimball Foundation, the Karen A. & Kevin W. Kennedy Foundation, the Makray Family Foundation, the Howard Allen Foundation, three anonymous donors, and the members and board of directors of Point Blue Conservation Science for their support of the Palomarin Field Station; and the members and board of directors of San Francisco Bay Bird Observatory for supporting the Coyote Creek Field Station.

All databases are available from the corresponding author. The authors have no conflicts of interest to report. This is Point Blue Conservation Science contribution number 2057.

## References

- Webster M.S., Marra P.P., Haig S.M., Bensch S., Holmes R.T., Links between worlds: unraveling migratory connectivity, Trends Ecol. Evol., 2002, 17, 76–83
- [2] Boulet M., Norris D.R., Introduction: the past and present of migratory connectivity, Ornithol. Monogr., 2006, 61, 1–13
- [3] Webster M.S., Marra P.P., in:, Greenberg R, Marra P.P. (Eds.), Birds of Two Worlds: The Ecology and Evolution of Migration, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2005
- [4] Calvert A.M., Walde S.J., Taylor P.D., Nonbreeding-season drivers of population dynamics in seasonal migrants: conservation parallels across taxa, Avian Conserv. Ecol., 2009, http://www.ace-eco.org/vol4/iss2/art5/
- [5] Ruegg K.C., Smith T.B., Not as the crow flies: a historical explanation for circuitous migration in Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci., 2002, 269, 1375–1381
- [6] Voelker G., Bowie R.C.K., Klicka J., Gene trees, species trees and Earth history combine to shed light on the evolution of migration in a model avian system, Mol. Ecol., 2013, 22, 3333–3344
- [7] Alvarado A.H., Fuller T.L., Smith T.B., Integrative tracking methods elucidate the evolutionary dynamics of a migratory divide, Ecol. Evol., 2014, 4, 3456–3469
- [8] Faaborg J., Holmes R.T., Anders A.D., Bildstein K.L., Dugger K. M., Gauthreux, Jr. S. S., *et al.*, Conserving migratory land birds in the New World: do we know enough?, Ecol. Appl., 2010, 20, 398–418
- [9] Bearhop S., Fiedler W., Furness R.W., Votier S.C., Waldron S., Newton J., *et al.*, Assortative mating as a mechanism for rapid evolution of a migratory divide, Science, 2005, 310, 502–504
- [10] Berthold P., Helbig A.J., Mohr G., Querner U., Rapid microevolution of migratory behaviour in a wild bird species, Nature, 1992, 360, 668–670
- [11] Jones J., Norris D.R., Girvan M.K., Barg J.J., Kyser T.K., Robertson R.R., Migratory connectivity and rate of population decline in a vulnerable songbird, Condor, 2008, 110, 538–544
- Phillips A.R., The Known Birds of North and Middle America: Part II, Allan R. Phillips, Denver, 1991
- [13] Pyle P., Identification Guide to North American Birds: Part 1, Slate Creek Press, Bolinas, 1997
- [14] Dellinger R., Wood P.B., Jones P.W., Donovan T.M., Hermit Thrush (*Catharus guttatus*), Birds North Am. Online, 2012, http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/261
- [15] Aldrich J.W., Population characteristics and nomenclature of the Hermit Thrush, Proc. United States Natl. Museum, Smithson. Inst., 1968, 124, 1–33
- [16] Dickerman R.W., Parkes K.C., in:, The Era of Allan R. Phillips: A Festschrift, Horizon Communications Publishers, Albequerque, 1997
- [17] Osgood W.H., New subspecies of North American birds, Auk, 1901, 18, 179–185
- [18] Warner B.G., Mathewes R.W., Clague J.J., Ice-free conditions on the Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia, at the height of late Wisconsin glaciation, Science, 1982, 218, 675–677
- [19] Greenberg R., Danner R., Olsen B., Luther D., High summer temperature explains bill size variation in salt marsh sparrows, Ecography (Cop.)., 2012, 35, 146–152

- [21] Storer R.W., Subspecies and the study of geographic variation, Auk, 1982, 99, 599–601
- [22] Berthold P., Bird Migration: A General Survey, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993
- [23] McCabe T.T., McCabe E.B., Preliminary studies of western Hermit Thrushes, Condor, 1932, 34, 26–40
- [24] McCabe T.T., McCabe E.B., From field and study: Hermit Thrushes of northwestern states, Condor, 1933, 35, 122–123
- [25] Clement P., Thrushes, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2000
- [26] Stutchbury B.J.M., Tarof S.A., Done T., Gow E., Kramer P.M., Tautin J, *et al.*, Tracking long-distance songbird migration by using geolocators, Science, 2009, 323, 896
- [27] Bairlein F., Norris D.R., Nagel R., Bulte M., Voigt C.C., Fox J.W., et al., Cross-hemisphere migration of a 25g songbird, Biol. Lett., 2012, 8, 505–507
- [28] Stach R., Jakobsson S., Kullberg C., Fransson T., Geolocators reveal three consecutive wintering areas in the thrush nightingale, Anim. Migr., 2013, 1, 1–7
- [29] Rundel C.W., Wunder M.B., Alvarado A.H., Ruegg K.C., Harrigan R., Schuh A., *et al.*, Novel statistical methods for integrating genetic and stable isotope data to infer individual-level migratory connectivity, Mol. Ecol., 2013, 22, 4163–4176
- [30] Hobson K.A., Van Wilgenburg S.L., Faaborg J., Toms J.D., Rengifo C., Sosa A. L., *et al.*, Connecting breeding and wintering grounds of Neotropical migrant songbirds using stable hydrogen isotopes: a call for an isotopic atlas of migratory connectivity, J. Field Ornithol., 2014, 85, 237–257
- [31] Porzig E.L., Seavy N.E., Gardali T., Geupel G.R., Holyoak M., Eadie J. M., Habitat suitability through time: using time series and habitat models to understand changes in bird density, Ecosphere, 2014, 5, 1–16
- [32] Samuels I.A., Gardali T., Humple D.L., Geupel G.R., Winter site fidelity and body condition of three riparian songbird species following a fire, West. N. Am. Nat., 2005, 65, 45–52
- [33] Jaramillo A., Hudson S.E., Strong C.M., Coyote Creek Field Station Ten-Year Report, 1987-1996, San Francisco Bay Bird Observatory, Milpitas, 2003
- [34] Ralph C.J., Geupel G.R., Pyle P., Martin T.E., Desante D.F., Handbook of Field Methods for Monitoring Landbirds, USDA Forest Service, Berkeley, 1993
- [35] Lockwood R., Swaddle J.P., Rayner J.M.V, Avian wingtip shape reconsidered: wingtip shape indices and morphological adaptations to migration, J. Avian Biol., 1998, 29, 273–292
- [36] Owen J.C., Collecting, processing, and storing avian blood: a review, J. Field Ornithol., 2011, 82, 339–354
- [37] Griffiths R., Double M.C., Orr K., Dawson R.J.G., A DNA test to sex most birds, Mol. Ecol., 1998, 7, 1071–1075
- [38] Lisovski S., Hahn S., GeoLight processing and analysing light-based geolocator data in R, Methods Ecol. Evol., 2012, 3, 1055–1059
- [39] Hill R.D., Braun M.J., In:, Sibert JR, Nielsen JL (Eds.), Electronic Tagging and Tracking in Marine Fisheries: Proceedings of the Symposium on Tagging and Tracking Marine Fish with Electronic Devices (7 - 11 Febr. 2000, Honolulu, Hawaii), University of Hawaii, Springer Netherlands, 2001, 315–330
- [40] Worton B.J., Kernel methods for estimating the utilization distribution in home-range studies, Ecology, 1989, 70, 164–168

- [41] Fudickar A.M., Wikelski M., Partecke J., Tracking migratory songbirds: accuracy of light-level loggers (geolocators) in forest habitats, Methods Ecol. Evol., 2012, 3, 47–52
- [42] Ambrosini R., Møller A.P., Saino N., A quantitative measure of migratory connectivity, J. Theor. Biol., 2009, 257, 203–211
- [43] Rodríguez-Ruiz J., de la Puente J., Parejo D., Valera F., Calero-Torralbo M.A., Reyes-González J.M., et al., Disentangling migratory routes and wintering grounds of Iberian near-threatened European Rollers *Coracias garrulus*, PLoS One, 2014, http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/ journal.pone.0115615
- [44] Bell C.P., Leap-frog migration in the Fox Sparrow: minimizing the cost of spring migration, Condor, 1997, 99, 470–477
- [45] Kelly J.F., Ruegg K.C., Smith T.B., Combining isotopic and genetic markers to identify breeding origins of migrant birds, Ecol. Appl., 2005, 15, 1487–1494
- [46] Hallworth M.T., Marra P.P., Miniaturized GPS tags identify non-breeding territories of a small breeding migratory songbird, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5
- [47] Baldwin M.W., Winkler H., Organ C.L., Helm B., Wing pointedness associated with migratory distance in commongarden and comparative studies of stonechats (*Saxicola torquata*), J. Evol. Biol., 2010, 23, 1050-1056
- [48] Yong W., Moore F.R., Flight, morphology, energetic condition, and the stopover biology of migrating thrushes, Auk, 1994, 111, 683–692
- [49] Ketterson E.D., Nolan Jr. V., Geographic variation and its climatic correlates in the sex ratio of eastern-wintering Dark-eyed Juncos (*Junco hyemalis hyemalis*), Ecology, 1976, 57, 679–693
- [50] Cristol D.A., Baker M.B., Carbone C., In: Nolan, Jr. V., Ketterson E.D., Thompson C. (Eds.), Current Ornithology, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 1999, 33-88
- [51] Stouffer P.C., Dwyer G.M., Sex-biased winter distribution and timing of migration of Hermit Thrushes (*Catharus guttatus*) in eastern North America, Auk, 2003, 120, 836–847
- [52] Bowlin M.S., Sex, wingtip shape, and wing-loading predict arrival date at a stopover site in the Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), Auk, 2007, 124, 1388–1396
- [53] Delmore K.E., Fox J.W., Irwin, D.E., Dramatic intraspecific differences in migratory routes, stopover sites and wintering areas, revealed using light-level geolocators, Proc. Biol. Sci., 2012, 279, 4582–4589
- [54] Delmore K.E., Irwin D.E., Hybrid songbirds employ intermediate routes in a migratory divide, Ecol. Lett., 2014, 17, 1211–1218
- [55] Cormier R.L., Humple D.L., Gardali T., Seavy N.E., Light-level geolocators reveal strong migratory connectivity and withinwinter movements for a coastal California Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*) population, Auk, 2013, 130, 283–290
- [56] Ruegg K.C., Hijmans R.J., Moritz C., Climate change and the origin of migratory pathways in the Swainson's thrush, *Catharus ustulatus*, J. Biogeogr., 2006, 33, 1172–1182
- [57] Carrara P.E., Ager T.A., Baichtal J.F., Possible refugia in the Alexander Archipelago of southeastern Alaska during the late Wisconsin glaciation, Can. J. Earth Sci., 2007, 44, 229–244
- [58] Shafer A.B.A., Cullingham C.I., Côté S.D., Coltman D.W., Of glaciers and refugia: a decade of study sheds new light on the phylogeography of northwestern North America. Mol. Ecol., 2010, 19, 4589–4621

- [59] Swarth H.S., Origins of the fauna of the Sitkan District, Alaska, Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci., 1936, 23, 66–71
- [60] Cook J.A., Dawson N.G., MacDonald S.O., Conservation of highly fragmented systems: the north temperate Alexander Archipelago, Biol. Conserv., 2006, 133, 1–15
- [61] Topp C.M., Winker K., Genetic patterns of differentiation among five landbird species from the Queen Charlotte Islands, British Columbia, Auk, 2008, 125, 461–472
- [62] Atwater B.F., Hedel C.W., Helley E.J., Late Quaternary depositional history, Holocene sea-level changes, and vertical crustal movement, southern San Francisco Bay, California, U.S.G.S. Professional Paper, 1977, 1014, 1–15
- [63] Sillett T.S., Holmes R.T., Variation in survivorship of a migratory songbird throughout its annual cycle, J. Anim. Ecol., 2002, 71, 296–308
- [64] Weir J.T., Schluter D., Ice sheets promote speciation in boreal birds, Proc. Biol. Sci., 2004, 271, 1881–1887
- [65] Outlaw D.C., Voelker G., Mila B., Girman D.J., Evolution of long-distance migration in and historical biogeography of *Catharus* thrushes: a molecular phylogenetic approach, Auk, 2003, 120, 299–310
- [66] Winker K., Pruett C.L., Seasonal migration, speciation, and morphological convergence in the genus *Catharus (Turdidae)*, Auk, 2006, 123, 1052-1068
- [67] Delmore K.E., Kenyon H.L., Germain R.R., Irwin D.E., Phenotypic divergence during speciation is inversely associated with

differences in seasonal migration, Proc. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci., 2015, 282, 20151921

- [68] Ruegg K., Anderson E.C., Boone J., Pouls J., Smith T.B., A role for migration-linked genes and genomic islands in divergence of a songbird, Mol. Ecol., 2014, 23, 4757–4769
- [69] Delmore K.E., Hübner S., Kane N.C., Schuster R., Andrew R.L., Câmara F., et al., Genomic analysis of a migratory divide reveals candidate genes for migration and implicates selective sweeps in generating islands of differentiation, Mol. Ecol., 2015, 24, 1873–1888
- [70] Topp C.M., Pruett C.L., McCracken K.G., Winker K., How migratory thrushes conquered northern North America: a comparative phylogeography approach, PeerJ, 2013, https:// dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.206
- [71] Norris R.D., Marra P.P., Seasonal interactions, habitat quality, and population dynamics in migratory birds, Condor, 2007, 109, 535–547
- [72] Sauer J.R., Hines J.E., Fallon J.E., Pardieck K.L., Ziolkowski, Jr. D. J., Link W.A., The North American Breeding Bird Survey, Results and Analysis 1966 - 2013, Version 01.30.2015, USGS Patuxent Wildl. Res. Cent., 2015

Supplemental Material: The online version of this article (DOI: 10.1515/ami-2016-0001) offers supplementary material.